

\$~29

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **W.P.(C) 7976/2020**

HAR KISHAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Milind P. Singh, Advocate.  
(M:9810187151)

versus

PRESIDENT SECRETARIATT THROUGH: ITS SECRETARY &  
ANR. .... Respondents

Through: Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC with  
Mr. Abhigyan Siddhant and Mr.  
Nitnem Singh Ghuman, Advocates  
for R-1.

Mr. Gaurang Kanth, Standing  
Counsel with Ms. Biji Rajesh and Mr.  
Aman Singh Bakshi, Advocates for  
CIC.

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH**

**ORDER**

% **29.01.2021**

1. This hearing has been done through video conferencing.
2. The matter has been listed for directions in order to clarify paragraph 12 of order dated 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2021. The said paragraph 12 shall accordingly read as under:

*“12. This Court is of the opinion that whenever **personal** information is sought under the RTI Act, disclosure of an interest in the **said** information sought would be necessary to establish the bonafides of the applicant. Non- disclosure of the same could result in injustice to several other affected persons, whose **personal** information is sought. The present writ petition is cleverly quiet about the fact that the Petitioner’s daughter had applied for being considered for appointment for the post of Multi-Tasking Staff at*

*the Rashtrapati Bhawan. The seeking of the above information, especially after the Petitioner's daughter did not obtain employment, clearly points to some ulterior motives."*

3. The modified order dated 12<sup>th</sup> January 2021 with the above paragraph be uploaded as a corrigendum.

**PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.**

**JANUARY 29, 2021**

*dj/T*